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ABSTRACT

This study explores the optimization and improvement of OEE (Overall Equipment Effectiveness) of a CNC (Computer Numeric Control) turning machine. The main purpose in increasing OEE is to improve the productivity and production with minimum losses. During the research, our main objective was to reduce the losses to increase OEE. As OEE depends upon three factors i.e. availability, quality, and performance rate so quality rate being top notch the main objective was to focus on availability and performance rate. In our research, OEE is increased by reducing the losses and by reducing the cycle time/product.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The Overall Equipment Effectiveness (OEE) of a machine is a Key Performance Indicator (KPI) that indicates the equipment’s overall operational performance and its origins from Total Productive Maintenance which was introduced by Seiichi Nakajima. The basic idea behind this was to improve the production and productivity by increasing OEE, which was done by reducing losses and increasing cycle time. OEE is basically the product of availability quality and performance rate and by increasing any one factor at a time OEE can be increased. If the OEE of a machine is equal to or greater than 85 percent than it is considered as world-class OEE.

2. PROCEDURE

OEE is calculated by the product of these three factors as shown below

O.E.E = Availability rate * Performance rate * Quality rate * 100

(1) Availability (A) = \frac{\text{Loading time (LT)} - \text{Down time (DT)}}{\text{Loading time (LT)}} \times 100 (a)
3. CALCULATION AND RESULT

Calculation for all months is shown in the tables

Loading time (LT) per day = 1-2-3-4

Where, 1 = 24 hours * 60 Minutes = 1440 Minutes
2 = 3 * 30 Minutes (lunch) = 90 Minutes
3 = 3 * 30 (tea break, shift changeover)
4 = Planned down time = 54 Minutes
(No material + No operator + power tripping)

= 1440 - (90 + 90 + 54)
= 1440 - 234
So Loading time = 1206

Down (Time DT) per day = 155 minutes
(Electrical break down + Mechanical breakdown + Tool change + set up time + start up loss + inspection time)

No putting all the values in formulas of availability, quality and performance rate

We get the following data

1206 - 155

\[ \text{Availability} = \frac{1206-155}{1206} = 0.87 \quad \text{(from formula (a))} \]

1206

= 0.87 * 100

= 87%
Performance rate

If standard cycle time is 1 minute

Actual cycle time is 1 minute

Then

\[
\text{SOR} = \frac{1}{1} = 1 \quad \text{(from formula (b))}
\]

Net operating rate (NOR)

\[
1 \times 1020 = \frac{1051}{1051} \quad \text{(from formula (c))} = 0.97
\]

Operating time = Loading time – Down time

\[
= 1206-155=1051 \text{ minutes}
\]
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>STD. CYCLE</th>
<th>ACT. CYCLE</th>
<th>SOR</th>
<th>Processed amount in Nos.</th>
<th>Operating time</th>
<th>NOR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jan</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1010</td>
<td>1206-155 =1051</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1032</td>
<td>1206-145 =1061</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1068</td>
<td>1206-120=1086</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1098</td>
<td>1206-100=1106</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[
\text{QUALITY RATE} = \frac{1120-10}{1120} = 0.99 \quad \text{(from formula (d))}
\]

\[
\text{Quality rate} = 99\% \times (0.99 \times 100)
\]
OEE = 0.87 * 0.96 * 0.99 * 100
= 82.64%

The result we got after reducing the losses are shown on the bar graph

Availability rate bar graph
The data shows the improvement of OEE over the past four months on the basis of reducing the downtime as one factor is reduced at a time to increase OEE.
OEE can also be increased by reducing start-up loss tool adjustment loss or reducing cycle time etc but we took downtime into our consideration to reduce OEE.

4. CONCLUSION

The aim of improving the OEE is to increase the production rate for better productivity, which was done by reducing the downtime of the machine while maintaining the minimum time allowed for the machine to work effectively.

The OEE of production line improved resulting in the improvement of delivery time.

Overall Equipment Effectiveness is used all over the world to increase the production rate or productivity and it is very effective also, so it is considered as an important factor in any industry.
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