

(*Volume2, Issue6*) Available online at <u>www.ijarnd.com</u>

Prospective Study To Study The Effect Of Routine Salpingectomy With Hysterectomy On Ovarian Reserve

Nikita Mittal, Nisha Rani Agarwal, Ashish Verma

Doctor, Department of Obstetrics & Gynaecology, IMS Banaras Hindu University, U.P, India Professor, Department of Obstetrics & Gynaecology, IMS Banaras Hindu University, U.P, India Associate Professor, Department of Radio Diagnosis, IMS Banaras Hindu University, U.P, India

ABSTRACT

Fallopian tube removal (salpingectomy) is being incorporated in routine hysterectomy procedures as there have been theories supporting less incidence of development of adnexal mass including an ovarian tumor in post hysterectomy patients. The Fallopian tube is also considered a reservoir of serious tubular intraepithelial carcinoma precursor cells, which are considered to be a precursor of epithelial ovarian carcinoma, so studying the ovarian reserve before and after routine salpingectomy helps in deciding whether or not salpingectomy affects ovarian reserve in patients undergoing a hysterectomy. As diminished ovarian reserve predisposes to the onset of postmenopausal symptoms, one of the drawbacks of hysterectomy.

Keyword: Salpingectomy, Hysterectomy, Ovarian Reserve.

1. OVARIAN RESERVE ASSESSMENT

Ovarian reserve could be assessed by measuring the level of hormones like LH, FSH, Estradiol (D2) and AMH (cycle independent marker). Radiological markers include ovarian volume, antral follicle count and pulsatility index of ovarian vessels.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

A prospective study was carried out in the Obstetrics and Gynaecology Department at IMS, BHU, Varanasi in which patients undergoing total abdominal hysterectomy for benign conditions were included.100 cases were taken out of which 50 patients underwent salpingectomy with hysterectomy and another 50 salpingectomy was not done. Two groups were investigated for ovarian reserve parameters preoperatively and postoperatively at 1 and 6 months. Parameters taken were Hormonal, in form of D2 LH, FSH, E2 and AMH, Radiological, in form of antral follicle count, ovarian volume, and pulsatility index. All these parameters were obtained preoperatively and in postoperative period at 1 and 6 months.

2.1 Inclusion Criteria

- CASE: patients undergoing hysterectomy with salpingectomy, for benign conditions.
- CONTROL: patients undergoing hysterectomy without salpingectomy.

1.2 Exclusion Criteria

Patients having any kind of malignancy, history of tubal or ovarian surgery, intake of hormonal contraceptives for past 2 months, substance abuse, chemo/radiotherapy.

Statistical analysis was done using SPSS statistical software (version 16.0). Descriptive statistics like mean,

Mittal Nikita, Agarwal Nisha Rani, Verma Ashish; International Journal of Advance Research and Development.

frequency and percentages of various parameters were calculated. For categorical variable Chi –Square test and Fischer's Exact test was used.

3. OBSERVATIONS

In the present study, 50 case and 50 controls were taken and a comparative study of ovarian reserve parameters with clinical and demographic parameters was done. Comparison of hormonal and sonographic parameters preoperatively and postoperatively at 1 month and 6 months among case and control revealed that

- There is a significant decrease in LH values in both case and control in the postoperative period.
- There is a significant increase in FSH values in both case and control in the postoperative period.
- E2, AMH and ovarian volume and PI (Pulsatility Index) values appear to decrease in both groups but the change is found to be insignificant.
- Antral follicle counts appear to decrease significantly in both groups.

FSH, AMH, Ovarian volume, Antral follicle count and Pulsatility index was found to be decreased in case of the group from preoperative levels to postoperative levels at 1 and 6 months respectively.

Change in LH and E2 levels from preoperative to the postoperative period at 1 and 6 months was found to be in decreasing trend but are of no significance.



Chart -2: Comparison of hormonal and sonographic parameters preoperatively and postoperatively at 1 month and 6 months among control.

4. DISCUSSION

In our study, we compared ovarian reserve with and without salpingectomy and observed a decrease in ovarian reserve in both groups postoperatively in comparison to preoperative value. There was no extra detrimental effect of salpingectomy on ovarian reserve in the case group. A previous retrospective study demonstrated that women with the severe tubal damage already have impaired ovarian function and a lower response to ovarian stimulation and Freeman suggested that hydrosalpinges may have a permanent negative influence on ovarian function, follicular development and oocyte quality [28]. Retrospective case-control study supported the statement that salpingectomy does not impair ovarian function [17].

In a study effect of salpingectomy over hormonal function and ovarian blood flow was assessed. After 3 months of surgery, there was a statistically significant increase in FSH, LH hormones, and ovarian volume. There was no significant change in estradiol and progesterone concentration.

Mittal Nikita, Agarwal Nisha Rani, Verma Ashish; International Journal of Advance Research and Development.

In a retrospective analysis of the effect of salpingectomy on serum AMH and ovarian reserve by Ye XP, salpingectomy was found to be associated with decreased AMH level and increased FSH in women, suggesting decreased ovarian reserve [29].

In a randomized control study by Austin effect of salpingectomy along with laparoscopic hysterectomy on ovarian reserve was studied after 4 week period using AMH [7]. Mean AMH levels were not significantly different at baseline and 4 weeks after.

5. RESULT

Ovarian reserve was found to be in a decreasing pattern in postoperative period at successive months in both groups in same proportion thereby we can say that salpingectomy has no detrimental effect on ovarian reserve per se.

4. CONCLUSION

Salpingectomy should be done routinely with hysterectomy.

6. REFERENCES

[1]. Alborzi S, Keramati P, Younesi M, Samsami A, Dadras N. The impact of laparoscopic cystectomy on ovarian reserve in patients with unilateral and bilateral endometriomas. Fertil Steril. 2014; 101:427-34.

[2]. Al-Hasani S, Asimakopoulos B, Nikolettos N, Diedrich K. Comparison of the response to ovarian stimulation between women with one ovary and those with two ovaries, in a program of ICSI/ET. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand. 2003; 82:845-9.

[3]. Almog B, Shehata F, Shalom-Paz E, Tan SL, Tulandi T. Age-related normogramfor antral follicle count: McGill reference guide. Fertil Steril 2011; 95:663–666

[4]. Alviggi C, Humaidan P, Howles C M, et al. Biological versus chronological ovarian age: Implications for assisted reproductive technology. Reprod Biol Endocrinol, 2009; 7:101

[5]. Arnold LD, Colditz GA. Hysterectomy with oophorectomy: implications for clinical decision making. Arch Intern Med 2011; 171:768–769.

[6]. Asante A, Whiteman MK, Kulkarni A, Cox S, Marchbanks PA, Jamieson DJ. Elective oophorectomy in the United States: trends and in-hospital complications, 1998–2006. Obstet Gynecol 2010; 116:1088–95.

[7]. Austin D, Findley MD, MSCR, Matthew T. Siedhoff, MD, MSCR, Kumari A. Hobbs, MD, John F. Steege, MD, Erin T. Carey, MD, MSCR, Christina A. McCall, MD, and Anne Z. Steiner, MD, MPH. Short-Term Effects of Salpingectomy During Laparoscopic Hysterectomy on Ovarian, 2013;100:1-10.

[8]. Baerwald AR, Adams GP, Pierson RA. Ovarian antral folliculogenesis during the human menstrual cycle: a review. Hum Reprod Update. 2012; 18:73-91.

[9]. Bancsi LF, Broekmans FJ, Eijkemans MJ, de Jong FH, Habbema JD, te Velde ER. Predictors of poor ovarian response in vitro fertilization: a prospective study comparing basal markers of ovarian reserve. Fertil Steril 2002; 77:328–336

[10]. Bancsi LF, Broekmans FJ, Mol BW, Habbema JD, te Velde ER. The performance of basal folliclestimulating hormone in the prediction of poor ovarian response and failure to become pregnant after in vitro fertilization: a meta-analysis. Fertil Steril 2003; 79:1091–1100

[11]. Barnhart K, Osheroff J. Follicle stimulating hormone as a predictor of fertility. Curr Opin Obstet Gynecol 1998; 10:227–232

[12]. Beemsterboer S N, Homburg R, Gorter N A, et al. The paradox of declining fertility but increasing twinning rates with advancing maternal age. Hum Reprod, 2006; 21: 1531–1532.

[13]. Bentzen JG, Forman JL, Johannsen TH, Pinborg A, Larsen EC, Andersen AN. Ovarian antral follicle subclasses and antimullerian hormone during normal reproductive aging. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2013; 98:1602–1611

[14]. Biacchiardi CP, Piane LD, Camanni M, Deltetto F, Delpiano EM, Marchino GL, et al. Laparoscopic stripping of endometriomas negatively affects ovarian follicular reserve even if performed by experienced surgeons. Reprod Biomed Online. 2011; 23:740-6.

[15]. Bjelland EK, Wilkosz P, Tanbo TG, Eskild A. Is unilateral oophorectomy associated with age at menopause? A population study (the HUNT2 Survey). Hum Reprod. 2014; 29:835-41.

[16]. Blank SV. Prophylactic and risk-reducing bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy: recommendations based on the risk of ovarian cancer. Obstet Gynecol 2011; 117:404.

[17]. Bredkjaer HE, Ziebe S, Hamid B et al. Delivery rates after in vitro fertilization following bilateral salpingectomy due to hydrosalpinges: a case-control study. Hum. Repord, 1999; 14:101-105.

[18]. Brodin T, Bergh T, Berglund L, Hadziosmanovic N, Holte J. Menstrual cycle length is an age-independent marker of female fertility: results from 6271 treatment cycles of in vitro fertilization. Fertil Steril. 2008; 90:1656-61.

Mittal Nikita, Agarwal Nisha Rani, Verma Ashish; International Journal of Advance Research and Development.

[19]. Broekmans FJ, Knauff EA, te Velde ER, Macklon NS, Fauser BC. Female reproductive ageing: current knowledge and future trends. Trends in endocrinology and metabolism: TEM. 2007; 18:58-65.

[20]. Broekmans FJ, Kwee J, Hendriks DJ, Mol BW, Lambalk CB. A systematic review of tests predicting ovarian reserve and IVF outcome. Hum Reprod Updat 2006; 12:685–718.

[21]. Broer SL, EijkemansMJ, Scheffer GJ, et al. Anti-mullerian hormone predicts menopause: a long-term follow-up study in normoovulatory women. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2011; 96:2532–2539

[22]. Burger H G, Hale G E, Robertson D M, et al. A review of hormonal changes during the menopausal transition: Focus on findings from the melbourne women's midlife health project. Hum Reprod Update, 2007; 13:559–565

[23]. Burger HG, Dudley EC, Hopper JL, et al. Prospectively measured levels of serum follicle-stimulating hormone, estradiol, and the dimeric inhibins during the menopausal transition in a population- based cohort of women. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 1999; 84:4025–4030

[24]. Carvalho JP, Carvalho FM. Is Chlamydia-infected tubal fimbria the origin of ovarian cancer? Med Hypotheses 2008; 71:690–693.

[25]. Celik HG, Dogan E, Okyay E, Ulukus C, Saatli B, Uysal S, et al. Effect of laparoscopic excision of endometriomas on ovarian reserve: serial changes in the serum antimullerian hormone levels. Fertil Steril. 2012; 97:1472-8.

[26]. Chang HJ, Han SH, Lee JR, Jee BC, Lee BI, Suh CS, et al. Impact of laparoscopic stectomy on ovarian reserve: serial changes of serum anti-Mullerian hormone levels. Fertil Steril. 2010; 94:343-9.

[27]. Chene G, Penault-Llorca F, Le Bouedec G, Mishellany F, Dauplat MM, Jaffeux P, Aublet-Cuvelier B, Pouly JL, Dechelotte P, Dauplat J. Ovarian epithelial dysplasia after ovulation induction: time and dose effects. Hum Reprod 2009; 24:132–138.

[28]. Freeman MR, Whitworth, CM and Hill, GA. Permanent impairment of embryo development by hydrosalpinges. Hum Reprod 1998; 13:983-986.

[29]. Ye XP, Yang YZ, Sun XX. A retrospective analysis of the effect of salpingectomy on serum antiMullerian hormone level and ovarian reserve. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2015; 212:53.e1–53.e10.